

## Algorithmic Game Theory

Summer Term 2023

### Exercise Set 3

If you want to hand in your solutions for this problem set, please send them via email to [anna.heuser@uni-bonn.de](mailto:anna.heuser@uni-bonn.de) by Tuesday evening – make sure to send a pdf-file which contains your name and your email address. Of course, submitting solutions in groups is also possible.

If you would like to present one of the solutions in class, please also send an email to [anna.heuser@uni-bonn.de](mailto:anna.heuser@uni-bonn.de) containing the **task** which you would like to present and in **which of the tutorials** you would like to do so. Deadline for the email is Tuesday, 10:00 pm. Please note that the tasks will be allocated via a first-come-first-served procedure, so sending this email earlier than Tuesday evening is highly recommended.

**Exercise 1:** (3 Points)

Have a look at the proof of Nash's Theorem (4.3) in which normal-form payoff-maximization games are considered. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $S_i = \{1, \dots, m_i\}$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ . The set of mixed states  $X$  can be considered as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ .

Show that  $X$  is convex and compact.

**Exercise 2:** (3+4 Points)

Consider the local search problem *Positive Not-All-Equal kSat* (Pos-NAE- $k$ SAT) which is defined the following way:

**Instances:** Propositional logic formula with  $n$  binary variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that is described by  $m$  clauses  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ . Each clause  $c_i$  has a weight  $w_i$  and consists of exactly  $k$  literals, which are all positive (i.e., the formula does not contain any negated variable  $\bar{x}_i$ ).

**Feasible solutions:** Any variable assignment  $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$

**Objective function:** Sum of weights of clauses  $c_i$  in which not all literals are mapped to the same value.

**Neighbourhood:** Assignments  $s$  and  $s'$  are *neighbouring* if they differ in the assignment of a single variable.

You can assume that Pos-NAE- $k$ SAT is in PLS. Now:

- (a) Show that  $\text{Pos-NAE-2SAT} \leq_{PLS} \text{MaxCut}$
- (b) Show that  $\text{Pos-NAE-3SAT} \leq_{PLS} \text{Pos-NAE-2SAT}$

**Exercise 3:**

(4 Points)

We define a Congestion Game to be *symmetric*, if  $\Sigma_1 = \dots = \Sigma_n$ . Let  $PNE_{\text{Cong. Game}}$  and  $PNE_{\text{Sym. Cong. Game}}$  be the local search problems in PLS of finding a pure Nash equilibrium of a general or symmetric Congestion Games, respectively.

Show:  $PNE_{\text{Cong. Game}} \leq_{\text{PLS}} PNE_{\text{Sym. Cong. Game}}$ .

**Hint:** Add an auxiliary resource for each player with a suitable delay function.